

# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/534,262	03/24/2000	Kanji Hata	2000-0351	2898	
75	590 06/18/2002				
Wenderoth Lind & Ponack LLP			EXAMINER		
2033 K Street N Suite 800	1 W	CHANG, RICK KILTAE			
Washington, Do	C 20006				
washington, D	C 20000		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			3729	1 ;	
			DATE MAILED: 06/18/2002	16	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)	Λ. C				
		09/534,262	HATA ET AL.					
Office Action Summary		Examiner	Art Unit	1				
		Rick K. Chang	3729					
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply								
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).  - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).  Status								
1) Responsive to communicat	ion(s) filed on <u>17 A</u>	<u> </u>						
2a)⊠ This action is FINAL.	2b)□ Thi	is action is non-final.						
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.								
Disposition of Claims	:	_						
4) ☐ Claim(s) <u>15-22</u> is/are pendi	_							
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.								
· <u> </u>	5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>15-22</u> is/are rejecte								
7) Claim(s) is/are object								
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.  Application Papers								
9)☐ The specification is objected	-							
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>24 <i>March 2000</i></u> is/are: a)□ accepted or b)⊠ objected to by the Examiner.								
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).								
11)☐ The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a)☐ approved b)☐ disapproved by the Examiner.								
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.								
12)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.								
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120								
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).								
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:								
1. ☐ Certified copies of the	priority documents	have been received.						
2. Certified copies of the	priority documents	s have been received i	n Application No					
<ul> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).</li> <li>* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.</li> </ul>								
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).								
a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received.  15)☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.								
Attachment(s)								
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing I 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO		5) Notice	iew Summary (PTO-413) Paper No e of Informal Patent Application (PT :					

Art Unit: 3729

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

## **Drawings**

1. The drawings are objected to under 37 CFR 1.83(a). The drawings must show every feature of the invention specified in the claims. Therefore, the limitations "each of . . . supply tables" in claim 15 (lines 6-13) and "while one of the first . . . and the board" in claim 15 (lines 35-39) must be shown or the feature(s) canceled from the claim(s). No new matter should be entered.

A proposed drawing correction or corrected drawings are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. The objection to the drawings will not be held in abeyance.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

- 2. The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:
  - The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.
- 3. Claims 15-22 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as containing subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to reasonably convey to one skilled in the relevant art that the inventor(s), at the time the application was filed, had possession of the claimed invention. the disclosure, as originally filed, fails to provide support for "each of . . . supply tables" in claim 15 (lines 6-13), "while one of the first . . . and the board" in claim 15 (lines 35-39) and "while the . . . supply table" in claim 18 (lines 36-38).
- 4. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:
  - The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Art Unit: 3729

5. Claims 15-22 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

There are numerous phrases and clauses in the claims that are vague, indefinite, and/or awkwardly and confusingly worded, and therefore, are not fully understood. The following are examples and they are not the only problems:

Claim 15 recites the limitation "the respective side" in line 8. There is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in the claim.

Claim 15 recites the limitation "the side of the board mounting position" in lines 11-12.

There is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in the claim.

Claim 15 has ambiguous terminology which is unclear whether later recitation of originally recited terms is intended to refer to the originally recited terms. For example, "a plurality of components" in lines 3-4 and "a plurality of components" in line 11.

Claim 15, lines 6-13: "each of . . . supply tables" renders the claim vague and indefinite. It is unclear whether components, a plurality of cassettes or reels or tables are replaced with new ones. The specification does not support replacing with a new table.

Claim 15, lines 35-39: "while one of the first . . . and the board" renders the claim vague and indefinite. It is not possible that each of the head sections is independently movable while one of them replaces the table. One section cannot pick up components from other section supply tables. Further, the specification does not support replacing with a new table while the second section is mounting.

Art Unit: 3729

Claim 18, lines 36-38: "while the . . . supply table" renders the claim vague and indefinite. It is unclear whether components, a plurality of cassettes or reels or tables are replaced with new ones. The specification does not support replacing with a new table while the second section is mounting.

NOTE: No art rejections have been applied to the claims 15-22 since there are a great deal of confusion and uncertainty as to the proper interpretation of the limitations of claims.

Therefore, it would not be proper to reject such claims on the basis of prior art. See MPEP 2173.06.

## Response to Arguments

6. Applicant's arguments filed 4/17/02 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

Re drawing objection, the examiner maintains his drawing objection and requests the applicants to cancel the limitations not shown in the drawings, which are not supported by the disclosure as originally filed. The drawings are required in this particular case in order to better understand the invention.

Re 112, first paragraph, rejection, the examiner maintains his rejection. The applicants' argument is erroneous. It is not inherent that a component supply table can be removed to permit replacement with a new component supply table just because the table has casters. The sections that the applicants believe to support the new matter in the specification do not provide such support, as the applicants puported. The claims contain the subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to reasonably convey to one skilled in the relevant

Art Unit: 3729

art that the inventor(s), at the time the application was filed, had possession of the claimed invention.

The applicants are arguing more than what the examiner rejected or objected in the remaining arguments. It is noted in the last Office Action that no art rejections have been applied to the claims 15-20 since there are a great deal of confusion and uncertainty as to the proper interpretation of the limitations of claims. Therefore, it would not be proper to reject such claims on the basis of prior art. See MPEP 2173.06.

#### Conclusion

- Applicants are duly reminded that a full and proper response to this Office Action that includes any amendment to the claims and specification of the application as originally filed requires that the applicant point out the support for any amendment made to the disclosure, including the claims. See 37 CFR 1.111 and MPEP 2163.06.
- 8. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event,

Art Unit: 3729

however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

9. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Rick K. Chang whose telephone number is (703) 308-4784. The examiner can normally be reached on 5:30 AM to 1:30 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Peter D. Vo can be reached on (703) 308-1789. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are (703) 872-9302 for regular communications and (703) 872-9303 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-1148.

Rick K. Chang Examiner

Art Unit 3729

**RC** 

June 17, 2002